

Math 564: Advance Analysis 1

Lecture 11

Borel Isomorphism Theorem. Any two ω -cbl Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic. In particular, they are all of cardinality continuum and Borel isomorphic to the Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Def. A standard Borel space is a measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) , where \mathcal{B} is the σ -alg of Borel sets for some Polish top. on X . In other words, a standard Borel space is a Polish space where we forget the topology but kept the Borel sets.

The Borel Isom. theorem says that there is only one, up to isomorphism, ω -cbl standard Borel space.

Def. A standard probability space is a prob. space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , where (X, \mathcal{B}) is standard Borel (i.e. a Polish space with a Borel prob. measure on it).

Prop. For a ω -cbl Hausdorff top space X (e.g. a separable metric space), for any ω -cbl Borel probability measure μ on X , the atoms of μ are singletons.

Proof. Just König's lemma + pigeons. **HW.**

Cor. Let (X, μ) be as in the above proposition, then $\mu = \mu' + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \delta_{x_n}$, where $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$, $a_n \geq 0$, and μ' is atomless.

Proof. Since atoms are disjoint singletons, there are only ω -cbl many of them (by the pre-measure extension lemma), so we

can remove them from \mathcal{J} to obtain \mathcal{J}' . □

Measure isomorphism theorem. Any two atomless standard prob. spaces are measure-isomorphic. In fact, there is a (genuine) Borel isomorphism between them that is a measure-isomorphism. In particular, all such spaces are isomorphic to $([0,1], \lambda)$.

We now give ideas of proofs of each of these theorems.

Borel isom. proof-sketch. We fix an unctbl Polish space X and show that it is Borel isom. to $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. We do so by proving

(a) $X \xrightarrow{\text{Borel}} 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
(b) $2^{\mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\text{Borel}} X$.

} $\text{Borel} \hookrightarrow$ means a Borel embedding.

This is enough by the proof of Schröder-Bernstein Theorem because the latter only uses images and preimages of Borel sets under the given Borel embeddings.

Proof of (a). We build a "binary representation" map for X as follows.

Fix a ctbl open basis $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for the topology. Define $b: X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $x \mapsto (\mathbb{1}_{U_n}(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since X is Hausdorff, b is injective. Preimage of a cylinder is a fin. intersection of sets of the form U_n or U_n^c , so b is Borel. By the Luzin-Souslin theorem from Descriptive Set Theory, b is a Borel embedding.

Proof of (b). By the Cantor-Bendixson Theorem from DST, $X = P \cup U$, where P is a closed subset of X that is perfect (no isolated

points) and U is ctbl open set. (Cantor's Perfect Set Theorem says that $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ homeomorphically embeds into every ^{nonempty} perfect Polish space, so if X is ctbl, P is nonempty perfect Polish, so $2^{\mathbb{N}} \hookrightarrow X$, i.e. topologically embeds into X . \square

Measure isom. proof-sketch. We prove that ^{for} every ^{atomless} standard prob space (X, \mathcal{J}) , there is a Borel isom with $([0,1], \lambda)$ that is also a measure isomorphism. Because (X, \mathcal{J}) has no atoms (which are singletons), X has to be ctbl. There is a Borel isom. $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$. Then $\mu := f_*\mathcal{J}$ is an atomless Borel prob. measure on $[0,1]$. In other words, we have assumed from the beginning that $X = [0,1]$ and \mathcal{J} is a Borel measure on $[0,1]$.

By a HW question, \mathcal{J} and λ on $[0,1]$ are measure-isomorphic, and building a Borel isomorphism witnessing this is done via the Borel isomorphism theorem, which helps sweeping a null set under the rug. \square

Integration. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space. Denote by $L(X, \mathcal{S})$ and $L^+(X, \mathcal{S})$ the sets of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions to $[-\infty, \infty]$ and to $[0, \infty]$, respectively.

The $0 \cdot \infty$ convention. In the measure-theoretic context, $0 \cdot \infty$ is 0 .

Note that $L^+ := L^+(X, \mathcal{S})$ is closed under non-negative linear combinations and multiplication. An integral \int on L^+ is a nonnegative linear functional on L^+ that is ctbl-additive, i.e.

- (i) $\int (a \cdot f + b \cdot g) = a \cdot \int f + b \cdot \int g$ for all $a, b \geq 0$ and $f, g \in L^+$.
- (ii) $\int f \geq 0$, in particular, if $f \leq g$ then $\int f \leq \int g$, for all $f, g \in L^+$.

$$(ii) \int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_n \quad \text{for all } f_n \in L^+.$$

Obs. Each integral \int on L^+ defines a measure μ_f on (X, \mathcal{S}) by

$$A \mapsto \int \mathbb{1}_A,$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathbb{1}_A$ is the indicator function of A .

Our goal is to reverse this: given a measure μ on X , we build an integral \int_μ s.t. $\mu_{\int_\mu} = \mu$.

The general real-valued function will be handled afterwards by the realization that any such function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ splits into a difference $f_+ - f_-$ of nonnegative functions, called its **positive** and **negative** parts, defined by $f_+ := f|_{f^{-1}([0, \infty))}$ and $f_- := -f|_{f^{-1}((-\infty, 0])}$. Note that f_+ and f_- have disjoint supports (i.e. they are nonzero on disjoint sets).

Given μ , we first define the integral \int on the so-called simple functions, and then we show that every func. in L^+ is approximated from below by simple functions, so we extend \int to L^+ .

Simple functions. A simple function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is just a linear combination of indicator functions of μ -measurable sets (hence they are μ -measurable).

Obs. A function is simple \Leftrightarrow it is measurable and has finite image.

Proof. \Rightarrow . Let $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$, then $f(X) \subseteq \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i a_i : \vec{b} \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \right\}$.

\Leftarrow . Suppose $f(X) = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ then $f = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} a_i \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$, where $A_i := f^{-1}(a_i)$. This is called the standard representation of f . \square

Note that simple functions form an \mathbb{R} -algebra, i.e. it is an \mathbb{R} -vector space closed under multiplication (indeed, $f \cdot g$ is simple if f, g are because $\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_B = \mathbb{1}_{A \cap B}$).